

DIFFERENCES OF THE EFFECT OF AEROBIC SPORTS ON COGNITIVE FUNCTION BETWEEN SMOKING AND NON-SMOKING STUDENTS AT DAYA UTAMA SMA, BEKASI 2017

Devi Fitriyastanti*

Hospital Administration Study Program, Bhakti Kartini Polytechnic e-mail: devifitriyastanti@gmail.com *Correspondence: devifitriyastanti@gmail.com

Submitted: 26 February 2022, Revised: 04 March 2022, Accepted: 15 March 2022 Abstract. In Indonesia, there are 20% of adolescents aged 13-15 years who smoke. The results of a survey conducted by Global Youth Tobacco in 2009 revealed that three out of ten children aged 13-15 years in Indonesia (30.4%) already smoked, and (19.4%) started smoking at the age of 10 years. Based on data from the 2017 Daya Utama High School counseling vulnerability map, it is known that of the 216 male students in Class X – XII, 35 of them have smoking cases in the school environment, and have academic achievements that are less than the KKM, for this reason, it is necessary to think about efforts to improve the cognitive function of students so that academic achievement can be improved. One of these efforts is exercising. The purpose of this study is to provide preventive and coaching efforts to adolescents to avoid smoking and increase physical/sports activity. The technique of assessing students' cognitive abilities uses the Backward Forward Digit Span method, which is to assess short-term memory for 3 days with methods test and posttest. Research results Based on the results of the T test on smokers and non-smokers for 3 measurements, pre-test and post-test, the statistical test results obtained a Pvalue = 0.000, meaning that at 5% alpha it can be concluded that there is a significant difference between preand post-test cognitions. on smoking students and non-smoking students in the first, second and third day measurements. From the results of this study, it is recommended that the smoking ban continue to be enforced and pursued because the impact of smoking is not only physically damaging to the body but also reduces cognitive abilities, which will also affect learning achievement.

Keywords: backward forward digit span; short-term memory.

INTRODUCTION

In Indonesia, 20% of adolescents aged 13-15 years are active smokers WHO (2009). The results of a survey conducted by Global Youth Tobacco in 2009 revealed that three out of ten children aged 13-15 years in Indonesia (30.4%) already smoked, and (19.4%) started smoking at the age of 10 years, WHO (Sime, 2019), Smoking in schools is a phenomenon that is often encountered, one of which is in New Zealand, although the ban on smoking in schools has been carried out, students still find smoking in the school environment (Lovato et al., 2010); (Thomas, McLellan, & Perera, 2013). While in Bekasi City in 2015 data was obtained that 30% of the 194,907 high school students equivalent were active smokers, KPAD (Gomez et al., 2015); (Müller et al., 2016). Above problems, it is necessary to think about efforts to improve the cognitive function of students so that academic achievement can be improved. One of these efforts is exercising.

METHODS

Quasi-experimental design quasiexperimental is a study that tests an intervention on a group of subjects with or without a comparison group but is not randomized to include subjects in the treatment or control group. Saryono (2013). The research design used is the Non-equivalent control group design, which is almost the same as the pretestposttest control group design, only in this design the experimental group and control group are not chosen randomly. The study used quantitative data with pre and post tests. In the design of this study, researchers intervened in groups of smokers The and non-smokers. effectiveness of the treatment was assessed by comparing the pre-test and post-test (<u>Cope</u>, 2015).

The design scheme of pre and post test without control group is as follows:

1. Smoker

2. Group Non Smoker Group

Description :

X : 12 minute running aerobic intervention A1 : Cognition scores in smokers and nonsmokers before getting a running aerobics intervention.

A2 : Cognition scores in smokers and nonsmokers after getting a running aerobics intervention.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. ANALYSIS TEST

Descriptive analysis is a method of analysis by describing or describing the data that has been collected as it is without making conclusions that apply to the public or generalizations. In this study, trials were conducted on 70 respondents with the criteria of 35 smokers and 35 non-smokers with age characteristics between 13-18 years and the same treatment was carried out, namely low-impact aerobics such as running with the following results:

Table 1. Age Characteristics of Respondents								
Variable	Ν	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Лах		
Respondent	70	16.37	16.50	0.705	15	17		
Age								
Variable	Ν	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Лах		
Variable Respondent								

Table 1. Age Characteristics of Respondents

Table 2. Differences in Aerobic Mileage Running for 12 minutes

 on Smokers and Non-Smokers

	Variable	Da	ays 1	Day	y 2	Day	's 3		
No	Distance Running	F	%	F	%	F	%	N	%
1.	Smoker								
	400 meters	5	14.3	1	2.9	3	8.6	35	100
	600 meters	29	82.9	32	91.4	28	80.0	_	
	2000 meters	1	2.9	2	5.7	4	11.4		
2.	Non-Smoker								
	2000 meters	20	57.1	14	40	16	45.7	35	100
	2400 meters	8	22.9	14	40	2	5.7	_	
	3000 meters	7	20.0	7	20	17	48.6	_	

B. UNIVARIATE ANALYSIS

The smoking behavior of respondents was analyzed using a

questionnaire from the Glover Nilsson Smoking Behavioral Questionnaire (GN-SBQ).

	1		5	
	Bekas	i		
gory	Sm	%	Non-	%
	ok			
	er			
< 12 Mild		8,5	35	1
(mild)				0
				0
loderate	5	14.	-	
(Medium)				
Strong	24	68,	-	-
(High)				
	gory ld loderate m)	Bekas gory Sm ok er Id 3 Id 5 m)	Bekasi gory Sm % ok er Id 3 8,5 8 Ioderate 5 14. m) 3	Bekasi gory Sm % Non- ok er ///////////////////////////////////

>33 Very Strong	3	8,5	-	-
(Very High)		8		
TOTAL	35	10	35	
		0%		

Table 4. Results of Measuring Cognitive Ability Pre & Post Aerobic Running on Smoking

 Students at SMA Dava Utama

Category of		Da	Day Da		iy	Da	ay
assessment	of			I		III	
cognitive	-	Pre	Ро	Pre	ро	Pre	Ро
function			st		st		st
(weighted							
score table))						
0 –	5	19	9	9	7	3	2
(Lo	w)						
6 – 11(Medi	um)	16	26	26	25	30	17
12 – 17(Hig	gh)	-	-	-	3	2	16
TOTAL		35	35	35	35	35	35

Table 5. Cognitive Measurement Results Pre & post Aerobic running in Non-Smoker

 students at SMA Dava Utama

stadents at Sim (Baya Stania										
	Da	Day I		iy II	Day III					
Category	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post				
0 – 5 (Low)	6	-	3	-	-	-				
6 - 11	29	31	29	26	24	7				
(Medium)										
12 – 17	-	4	3	9	11	28				
(Height)										
TOTAL	35	35	35	35	35	35				

C. BIVARIATE ANALYSIS

Table 6. Results of Different Test Scores for Pre Test and Post Test Aerobic Running on theEffect of Cognitive Function on Students Smoking

Paired Samples Test						
Paired Differences						

				95	5%	t	d	Sig.
				Con	fide		f	(2-
				no	ce			tail
				Inte	rval			ed)
				of	the			
				Diffe	eren			
				С	е			
	Me	Std.	St	Lo	Up	_		
	an	Devi	d.	we	ре			
		atio	Er	r	r			
		n	ro					
			r					
			М					
			ea					
			n					
Smoker	-	731	.1	-	-	-	3	000
Cogniti	1.3		2	1.6	1.1	11.0	4	
on First	71		4	23	20	98		
Day Pre								
Test -								
Post								
Test								
Smoker	-	1,23	.2	-	-	-	3	.00
Cogniti	1,3	1	0	1,7	89	6.31	4	0
on	14		8	37	1	5		
Second								
Day								
Pre Test								
- Post								
Test								
Third	-	1.66	-	34	.28	000	I	:
day	3.0	8	8.		2 -		n	
Smoker'	01		6		3.0		f	
S			1		01		0	
Cogniti			5		1.		r	
on					85		rr	
Pre Test					6		а	
-Post							t	
Test							i	

| Differences of The Effect of Aerobic Sports on Cognitive Function Between Smoking and Non-Smoking Students at Daya Utama SMA, Bekasi 2017

	P	aired	Sam	ples Te	st			
		Paired	d Diffe	erences		Т	d	Sig.
				95	%		f	(2-
				Confi	denc			tail
				e Int	erval			ed)
				of	the			
				Diffe	rence			
	Mea	Std	Std	Low	Up			
	n			er	per			
		De	Err					
		via	or					
		tio	Me					
		n	an					
Non	-		-	34	.26	000	Co	of
Smoker	2.4	1.5	9.1		7 -		gn	
Cognition	29	77	10		2.9		iti	
First Day					70		on	
Pre Test -					1.			
Post Test					887			
Non	-		.32	-	-	-	3	
Smoker	1.8	1.9	2	2.4	1.1	5.5	4	000
Second Day	00	07		55	45	85		
Pre Test -								
Post Test								
Cognition	-	1.4	.24	-	-	-	3	.000
Non-	2.6	57	6	3.1	2.1	10.	4	
Smoker	29			29	28	674		
Third day								
Pre Test -								
Post Test								

1. D:4 т ٨ . . ning Scores on Table 7. Result

n

D. Age description of SMA Daya Utama respondents, both smokers and nonsmokers.

Based on the research results, the average respondent was 16.37 years old with a median value of 16.50 years, the

standard deviation of the respondent's age was 0.705 and the maximum age was 17 years. This condition implies that smokers and non-smokers at SMA Daya Utama, Bekasi are teenagers who are still in their productive period (Cope, 2015); **1147** | Differences of The Effect of Aerobic Sports on Cognitive Function Between Smoking and Non-Smoking Students at Daya Utama SMA, Bekasi 2017

(Moraschini, 2016); (Cavalca et al., 2013). This condition implies that smokers and non-smokers at SMA Daya Utama, Bekasi are teenagers who have good physical work ability (fitness). Where that age is a productive age with activities that produce something positive or good and teenagers in this condition have good physical fitness to carry out daily activities, especially in the teaching and learning process at school. Activities and sports can affect the cognitive function of respondents.

E. Description of Running Aerobic Mileage Ability in Daya Utama High School Students, both smokers and non-smokers.

The results of measuring the aerobic running mileage for 12 minutes which lasted for three days, smoking students had shorter running distances compared to non-smokers (Sutfin et al., 2011), smokers students only able to complete the average distance of 600 meters as many as 31 students and only 4 students who were able to complete the distance of 2000 meters on day 3, while the nonsmoking students on average were able to run a distance of 2000 meters as many as 16 students, and 17 students able to run a distance of 3000 meters on day 3, these results indicate that nonsmoking students have a better physical fitness condition than non-smokers (Sabanayagam & Shankar, 2011), so non-smoking students are able to complete a longer running distance in 12 minutes. in the learning process and the acquisition of final grades.

F. Overview of smoking behavior on SMA Daya Utama students, both

smokers and non-smokers

From the results of the above study, it can be concluded that the factors of friends and smoking environment can affect the desire to smoke, smoking activity is what is needed for most students, namely 68.57% because can increase their self-confidence, this must be watched out for by the school because the effect will be able to affect other friends, even 3 students or 8.57% expressed their desire to immediately smoke when they come home from school, and even though at school there are rules not to smoke. allowed to bring, store or even smoke, but

They are looking for opportunities to smoke outside the school building, this is very worrying because students who are addicted to smoking have a score that is less than the minimum completeness criteria determined by the school.

G. The effect of aerobic exercise on cognitive function between smokers and non-smokers at SMA Daya Utama Bekasi.

The results showed that there was a difference in the mean value between the pre and post cognitive ability measurements, there was an effect of running aerobics on cognitive function students with smoking three in measurements (Moore, Dickson-Deane, <u>& Galyen</u>, 2011). Statistical test results obtained a P value 0.000. Meanwhile, for non-smoking students with three first, second, and third measurements in the pre-test and post-test (Lorensia, Muntu, Survadinata, & Septiani, 2021); (Habibi et al., 2018), the statistical test results obtained a Pvalue 0.000, it can be concluded that physical exercise, one of which is running, can affect students' cognitive abilities. used as a basic reference in the program of organizing exercise or sports at school (Gopalan, Bakar, Zulkifli, Alwi, & Mat, 2017), because with physical sports, one of which is running can help improve cognitive function abilities, and of course it can also stimulate concentration in learning so that it can improve student achievement.

		aerob				
Differen ces	results who	of	cog nitio	Tabl e		
			n			
smokers	Pre	5.09	7.03	9.26		
	Post test	6.46	8.34	11.6		
	1031 1831		0.54	9		
Non	Dra taat	7.00	0.00	11.3		
smoker	Pre test	7.09	9.60	1		
	Doct toot	9.51	11.4	13.9		
	Post test	9.51	0	4		

Table 8. Post aerobics Running on students

Based on Table 8, it shows the average result or mean value of the results of the first day of pre-test cognition in smokers 5.09, and 7.09 in Non-smokers, the second day of the pretest on smokers showed the mean value of 7.03 and non-smokers 8.34, while the results of the third day of cognition assessment for smokers were 9.26 and non-smokers 11.31, it can be concluded that the average value of cognition assessment in smokers gets a value lower scores and non-smokers have higher scores. So from the results of this study, it can be a reference for schools to be stricter in enforcing smoking bans and even cracking down on every smoking student because this can affect their cognitive abilities, so it is

feared that it will also affect their learning concentration and reduce their academic achievement.

The results of the post test assessment of cognition on the first day for smokers have an average of 6.46 and 9.51 for non-smokers, on the second day the average cognition value for smokers is 8.34 and for non-smokers 11.40, on the third day the average value for smokers' cognition obtained a value of 11.69 and for non-smokers 13.94, from these results it can be concluded that in smokers the post-test cognition assessment results are lower than nonsmokers, by doing sports one of which is running during the learning process, it is expected to be able to improve students' cognitive abilities so as to **1149** | Differences of The Effect of Aerobic Sports on Cognitive Function Between Smoking and Non-Smoking Students at Daya Utama SMA, Bekasi 2017

stimulate also concentrate on studying.

From the results of this cognitive assessment, it is clear that smoking can affect a person's cognitive abilities, one of which is in the form of short-range memory abilities, so this should be a concern because adolescents are assets that should have a soul with a higher level of fitness and higher cognitive abilities. so that it is expected to produce high achievements in various fields.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the study, the conclusions that can be drawn in this study are as follows:

1) The results showed the characteristics of the respondents were on average 16 years old and had aerobic ability to run an average of 1457 meters for 12 minutes, students who smoked were only able to do aerobics running with the distance of 600 meters was 31 people (88.6%), this is lower than the distance that was able to be done by non-smoking students who were able to do aerobics running with a distance of 2000 meters as many as 20 people (57.1%). 2) There is an effect of cognitive function on pretest and post-test aerobic running for 12 minutes on smoking and non-smoking students at SMA Daya Utama. 3) There is a difference in the Mean value in the assessment of cognitive abilities between smoking and non-smoking students in both the Pre-test and Post-test. 4) Smoking students have a mean or average value of cognitive ability that is lower than the ability of cognitive scores in non-smokers, so it can be concluded that smoking can affect cognitive scores resulting in decreased concentration which affects academic achievement.

REFERENCES

Cavalca, Eleonora, Kong, Grace, Liss, Thomas, Reynolds, Elizabeth K., Schepis, Ty S., Lejuez, C. W., & Krishnan-Sarin, (2013). Suchitra. А preliminary experimental investigation of peer influence risk-taking on among adolescent smokers and non-smokers. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 4(2), 163-166.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2 012.09.020

- Cope, Diane G. (2015). Case study research methodology in nursing research. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 4(6), 681–682. Oncology Nursing Society. 0002186011; 10.1188/15.ONF.681-682
- Gomez, Rosane, Schneider Jr, Ricardo, Quinteros, Dayane, Santos, Carolina Ferreira, Bandiera, Solange, Thiesen, Flavia Valadão, Coitinho, Adriana Simon, Fernandes, Marilda da Cruz, & Wieczorek, Marina Godinho. (2015). Effect of alcohol and tobacco smoke on long-term memory and cell proliferation in the hippocampus of rats. Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 7(12), 1442-1448. https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntv051
- Gopalan, Valarmathie, Bakar, Juliana Aida Abu, Zulkifli, Abdul Nasir, Alwi, Asmidah, & Mat, Ruzinoor Che. (2017). A review of the motivation theories in learning. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, *3*(1), 20043. AIP Publishing LLC. <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5005376</u>

Habibi, Mojtaba, Hosseini, Farhad, Darharaj,

Mohammad, Moghadamzadeh, Ali, Radfar, Farhad, & Ghaffari, Yasaman. (2018). Attachment style, perceived loneliness, and psychological wellbeing in smoking and non-smoking university students. *The Journal of Psychology*, 5(4), 226–236. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.201 8.1446894

- Lorensia, Amelia, Muntu, Cynthia Marisca, Suryadinata, Rivan Virlando, & Septiani, Roslin. (2021). Effect of lung function disorders and physical activity on smoking and non-smoking students. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene*, 6(2), E89.
- Lovato, Chris Y., Zeisser, Cornelia, Campbell, H. Sharon, Watts, Allison W., Halpin, Peter, Thompson, Mary, Eyles, John, Adlaf, Edward, & Brown, K. Stephen. (2010). Adolescent smoking: effect of school and community characteristics. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 3(6), 507–514. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2010.</u> 08.019
- Moore, Joi L., Dickson-Deane, Camille, & Galyen, Krista. (2011). e-Learning, online learning, and distance learning environments: Are they the same? *The Internet and Higher Education*, 4(2), 129–135. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2010.1</u> 0.001
- Moraschini, V. (2016). Success of dental implants in smokers and non-smokers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 4(2), 205–215. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2015.08.</u> <u>996</u>

Müller, Barbara C. N., Ritter, Simone M.,

Glock, Sabine, Dijksterhuis, Ap, Engels, Rutger C. M. E., & van Baaren, Rick B. (2016). Smoking-related warning messages formulated as questions positively influence short-term smoking behaviour. Journal Health of 60-68. Psychology, 2(1),https://doi.org/10.1177/135910531452 2083

- Sabanayagam, Charumathi, & Shankar, Anoop. (2011). The association between active smoking, smokeless tobacco, second-hand smoke exposure and insufficient sleep. *Sleep Medicine*, 2(3), 7–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2010.09 .002
- Sime, Stuart. (2019). <u>A practical approach to</u> <u>civil procedure.</u> A Practical Approach.
- Sutfin, Erin L., McCoy, Thomas P., Reboussin, Beth A., Wagoner, Kimberly G., Spangler, John, & Wolfson, Mark. (2011). Prevalence and correlates of waterpipe tobacco smoking by college students in North Carolina. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *5*(2), 131–136. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2</u> 011.01.018
- Thomas, Roger E., McLellan, Julie, & Perera, Rafael. (2013). School-based programmes for preventing smoking. *Evidence-Based Child Health: A Cochrane Review Journal*, 8(5), 1616– 2040.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ebch.1937

© 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY SA) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).